

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAUTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pagent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D. 20231

			.\/	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/719,469	04/18/2001	Lothar Fauth	R.35636	8816
75	90 12/10/2002			
Ronald E Greigg			EXAMINER	
Greigg & Greigg 1423 Powhatan Street Unit One Alexandria, VA 22314			TAMAI, KARL I	
Alexandria, VA	. 22514		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

_	-					
• (	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/719,469	FAUTH, LOTHAR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tamai IE Karl	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR1 and 12 CM of Month of the provisions of 3 CFR1 and 12 CM of Month of the provision of 3 CM of the CM o	136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 10 76 U.S.C. 8 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	October 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 8-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 8,9 and 12-14 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	its have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li></ol>	its have been received in Applicat	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	· ·					
a) The translation of the foreign language p						
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/719,469

Art Unit: 2834

# DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, is withdrawn.
 Claims 8-11 have been amended to clearly define the invention as a method of making and claims 12-14 have been amended to clearly define a product by process claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kobayashi et al. (Kobayashi)(US 4,589,299). Kobayashi teaches a worm formed on the end of an armature shaft by rolling with a sleeve bearing between the armature and the worm. The method of making is inherent in the disclosed structure.
- 4. Claims 8, 9, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Thrasher, Jr. et al. (Thrasher)(US 4,885,948). Thrasher teaches a motor with a shaft with a worm formed by rolling and having a larger diameter than the shaft. Thrasher teaches a bearing 28 between the armature core and the worm with fingers that bear against the shaft. The method of making is inherent in the disclosed structure.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/10/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant's argument, for both Kobayashi and Thrasher, that the worm must be formed after the mounting of the armature assembly is not persuasive because the limitation is not claimed in claim 8. The Applicant's argument that the bearing seat of Thrasher is not fixed to the shaft is not persuasive the limitation is not claimed. The bearing seat of Thrasher is mounted on the shaft between the worm and the armature core because the spring fingers maintain sliding contact with the shaft because the inner diameter of the fingers is less than the shaft.

#### Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). Application/Control Number: 09/719,469

Art Unit: 2834

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (703) 305-7066.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nestor Ramirez, can be reached at (703)308-1371. The facsimile number for the Group is (703)305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER December 6, 2002